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- Background on industry self-regulation for online privacy

- CUPS research:
  1. The Cost of Reading Privacy Policies, I/S (with L. Cranor)

  Papers available from cups.cs.cmu.edu or aleecia.com/cv.html

- Discussion of policy options
Fair Information Principles

- Originated in the US in the 1970s
  - Concern about credit databases on main frames
  - Took hold internationally

- Provide a framework for approaching informational privacy
  - The FTC adopted 5 out of 8 FIPs (notice, choice, access, security, enforcement) in 1998
  - Online privacy policies are part of the FTC’s response to the principle of “notice/awareness”
Motivating problem: information asymmetry
- Leads to market failures

FTC’s solution: industry self-regulation with privacy policies

Privacy policies:
- Often optional
- Content, appearance, structure up to the company
- Legally binding via deceptive practices or fraud actions
Industry Self Regulation in Theory

- Website owners voluntarily post online privacy policies
- FTC enforces policies via deceptive practices and fraud actions
- Consumers:
  - Read online privacy policies each time they visit new sites
  - Compare policies between sites
  - Buy from sites with the best privacy policies
- This creates a market place that efficiently rewards privacy protections
Industry Self Regulation in Practice

- Website owners voluntarily post online privacy policies
- FTC enforces policies via deceptive practices and fraud actions
- Consumers:
  - Read online privacy policies each time they visit new sites
  - Compare policies between sites
  - Buy from sites with the best privacy policies
- This creates a market place that efficiently rewards privacy protections
Value of Time to Read Privacy Policies
How Do We Engage People to Read Privacy Policies?

- **Privacy community:**
  - Build better privacy policies [Cranor, Hunton & Williams, etc.]

- **Economics approach, create a national market [Laudon]**
  - Perhaps no zone of negotiation for privacy markets [Garfinkel]
  - Perhaps inter-temporal discounting [Acquisti]

- **What would happen if people *did* read policies?**
  - How much time would that take?
  - How much would that time be worth?

- **Put another way: what would happen if the FTC got what they asked for? Would industry self-regulation be viable in practice?**
Estimating the Time to Read Privacy Policies

\[ T_R = p \times R \times n \]

- \( p \) is the population of all Internet users
- \( R \) is the average time to read one policy
- \( n \) is the average number of unique sites Internet users visit annually

\( p \approx 221 \) million Americans online (Nielsen, May 2008)
Estimating the Time to Read One Policy

- R = avg time to read a policy = \# words in policy / reading rate

- To estimate words per policy:
  - Measured the policy length of the 75 most visited websites
  - Reflects policies people are most likely to visit

- Reading rate \approx 250 \text{ WPM}
  - Low estimate: 2,071 words / 250 WPM = 8 minutes
  - Mid estimate: 2,514 words / 250 WPM = 10 minutes
  - High estimate: 3,112 words / 250 WPM = 12 minutes
Estimating the Average Number of Unique Sites (1 of 3)

- Nielsen estimates Americans visit 185 unique sites in a month:

  ![Venn Diagram]

  - All home: 119
  - Just home: 105
  - Both: 14
  - Just work: 52
  - All work: 66

- Overlap between websites viewed at work & home (e.g. Google)
- Time valued at a higher rate for home than work
- How do we allocate the 14 sites viewed at home and at work?
Estimating the Average Number of Unique Sites (2 of 3)

- **Lower bound monthly estimate:**
  - Assume all sites at work and home are first viewed at home
  - Monthly estimate: 119 home, 52 work

- **Middle estimate:**
  - Assume half of overlap read at work, half at home
  - Monthly estimate: 112 home, 59 work

- **Upper bound estimate:**
  - Assume all sites at work and home are first viewed at work
  - Monthly estimate: 105 home, 66 work
Estimating the Average Number of Unique Sites (3 of 3)

- Looking for *annual* estimate – but cannot just multiply by 12
- Used a scale factor of 66% to 74%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Scale factor</th>
<th>Policies read at work</th>
<th>Policies read at home</th>
<th>Total policies read</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>412 / year</td>
<td>942 / year</td>
<td>1354 / year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Weighted</td>
<td>467 / year</td>
<td>995 / year</td>
<td>1462 / year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>586 / year</td>
<td>932 / year</td>
<td>1518 / year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Putting it All Together: Time to Read Online Policies

- \[ T_R = p \times R \times n \]
  - \( p \approx 221 \text{ million Americans online (Nielsen, May 2008)} \)
  - \( R \approx 10 \text{ minutes per policy (lower estimate: 8; upper estimate: 12)} \)
  - \( n \) is the average number of unique sites an Internet user visits \( \approx 1462 \) (lower estimate: 1354; upper estimate: 1518)

- \( R \times n \approx 244 \text{ hours per year per person (lower estimate: 181; upper estimate: 304)} \)

- \( T_R \approx 53.8 \text{ billion hours for all United States Internet users per year (lower estimate: 39.9 billion; upper estimate: 67.1 billion)} \)
Is Reading Every Word the Right Model?

- How do people who read privacy policies use them in practice?
- More typical to look for specific information about concerns
- What if we imagine people skim for content rather than read each word?
Estimating the Time to Skim Privacy Policies

\[ T_s = p \times S \times n \]

- \( p \) is the population of all Internet users
- \( S \) is the average time to skim one policy
- \( n \) is the average number of unique sites Internet users visit annually

\[ S \] is the only input that changes; \( p \) and \( n \) are as before
Measuring the Time to Skim One Policy
(1 of 2)

- Online study, \( n = 212 \)

- Participants found answers to questions about privacy protections based on the text of a privacy policy

- Questions based on concerns people have about online privacy (Cranor et al, 2006)

- Asked five multiple-choice questions including “How can you remove yourself from Acme's email list?” and “Does the website use cookies?”

- Six policies of different lengths
  - One very short policy (928 words)
  - One very long (6,329)
  - Four close to 2,500 words
  - Median times from 18 to 26 minutes

- Problem: can manipulate the times based on the number of questions asked
Measuring the Time to Skim One Policy
(2 of 2)

- Median times from warm up question ranged from four to eight minutes
  - Very conservative estimate
  - Averaged all six medians, first quartiles, third quartiles for estimates

- Low estimate: 3.6 minutes
- Middle estimate: 6.3 minutes
- High estimate: 11.6 minutes
Putting it All Together: Time to Skim Online Policies

\[ T_S = p \times S \times n \]

- \( p \approx 221 \) million Americans online (Nielsen, May 2008)
- \( S \approx 6.3 \) minutes per policy (lower estimate: 3.6; upper estimate: 11.6)
- \( n \) is the average number of unique sites an Internet user visits as before: \( \approx 1462 \) (lower estimate: 1354; upper estimate: 1518)

\[ p \times S \approx 154 \text{ hours per year per person (lower estimate: 81; upper estimate: 293)} \]

\[ T_S \approx 33.9 \text{ billion hours for all people per year (lower estimate: 17.9 billion, upper estimate: 64.8 billion)} \]
Estimating the Value of Time

- Becker argued we should consider the value of time as an implicit cost of goods and services (Becker, 1965)
  - Opportunity cost of time is a major part of education cost
  - Cost to see a play includes the value audience members place on their own time
- Economics literature:
  - Time should be valued as salary plus overhead (Leunig, 2005)
  - In US, overhead is estimated as twice the rate of take home pay (Kmetovicz, 1992)
- Through revealed-preferences and willingness-to-pay studies, people value their leisure time at one quarter their take home pay (Leunig, 2005)
Value of Time by Location

- Where $W$ is national average wages
  - Reading policies at work should be valued as $2W$
  - Reading policies at home should be valued as $\frac{1}{4}W$

- $W = $17.93 (BLS, March 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Average value of time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>$35.86 / hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>$4.48 / hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Conclusion Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Personal</th>
<th></th>
<th>National</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value to</td>
<td>Value to</td>
<td>Value to</td>
<td>Value to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>skim</td>
<td>read</td>
<td>skim</td>
<td>read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower estimate</td>
<td>$1,140</td>
<td>$2,533</td>
<td>$251.9 B</td>
<td>$559.7 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle estimate</td>
<td>$2,226</td>
<td>$3,534</td>
<td>$492 B</td>
<td>$781 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper estimate</td>
<td>$4,870</td>
<td>$5,038</td>
<td>$1.1 T</td>
<td>$1.1 T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion: Putting These Numbers in Perspective

- Time to read is greater than the time to handle spam, and on par with the current time websurfing
- Value of time to read or skim is several times greater than the cost of broadband access
- If companies cannot make privacy policies more useable, regulation improving disclosure may be insufficient
  - Saying more may improve transparency, but may also increase the costs to read
Discussion: Out of Balance?

- Many privacy concerns stem from advertisements
  - “It is unclear whether consumers even understand that their information is being collected, aggregated, and used to deliver advertising.” (FTC, 2008)

- Should Internet users give up $492 billion of their time to protect themselves from a $21 B industry?
  - Sales from direct mail are an order of magnitude higher than advertising costs (Petty, 2000)

- Value of all online advertising in the US $21 billion in 2007 (IAB, 2008)

- As envisioned, balance between costs borne by Internet users v. benefits of targeted ads for industry may be misaligned
Standardized Privacy Policies
Do Privacy Policies Work?

- Conflict between legal liability for companies and the goal of notice
- College reading level *plus* jargon *plus* law
- Textual ambiguity, “weasel words” [Pollach]
- Each policy is different, must start from scratch
- Low readership [Jensen]
- Yet: people will pay a premium for privacy [Tsai]; FaceBook beacon backlash; they do care
Can We Reduce Time Required to Read Policies Yet Improve Comprehension?

- One possibility: **standardized formats**
- Can highlight the most important information so people do not need to read the entire policy
- Users learn where to find information
- Easier to compare between policies
Do Standardized Policy Formats Help?

1. Natural Language
2. Layered
3. Privacy Finder
Acme Media Privacy Policy

1. Purpose and Scope

This policy discloses what information we gather about you when you visit any of our Web sites (all acme.com and Acme Network sites) or buy product directly from us. It describes how we use that information and how you can control it. Our privacy policy addresses:

Information Acme gathers and tracks
Personal information
Aggregated tracking information
Cookies
Third Party Cookies
Third Party Advertising
Notification of Changes
How to update, correct, or delete your information

Information Acme gathers and tracks

Acme collects two kinds of information about users: 1) data that users volunteer by signing up to receive news and product information, entering contests, completing surveys, or buying directly from us; and 2) aggregated tracking data we collect when users interact with us.

Personal information

We use the personal information you provide voluntarily to send information you've requested and to fulfill orders. The specific use of your personal information varies, depending on how you contact us:

- When you sign up online to receive Acme Network newsletters, Acme product and company news, and to participate in talkbacks on our sites you must provide your name, email address, and a password. We never sell or rent your email address or other personally identifiable information you provide us under these circumstances.
- When you register for an Acme conference, or sign up for a conference email list, we will send you email announcements and updates about Acme conferences. We send conference brochures to past conference attendees.
2. **Acme Privacy Summary**

### Scope

This policy discloses what information we gather about you when you visit any of our Web sites (all acme.com and Acme Network sites) or buy product directly from us. For more details, please refer to our [full privacy policy](#).

### Personal Information

Acme collects two kinds of information about users:

1. data that users volunteer by signing up to receive news and product information, entering contests, completing surveys, or buying directly from us
2. aggregated tracking data we collect when users interact with us, such as access logs and web cookies

For more information about our information collection practices, please see our [full policy](#).

### Uses

- We use the personal information you provide voluntarily to send information you've requested and to fulfill orders.
- When you sign up online to receive Acme Network newsletters, Acme product and company news, and to participate in talkbacks on our sites you must provide your name, email address, and a password. We never sell or rent your email address or other personally identifiable information you provide us under these circumstances.
- When you register for an Acme conference, or sign up for a conference email list, we will send you email announcements and updates about Acme conferences. We send conference brochures to past conference attendees.
- When you order books directly from us, or request book catalogs, we add you to our snailmail list, and we’ll send you catalogs and other marketing pieces.
- When you enter a contest or sweepstakes, we may ask for your name, address, and email address so we can administer the contest and communicate with entrants about the results.
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Acme Incorporated Privacy Practices

[Opt-Out | Contact Site]

Acme Incorporated may share your information with:
- Companies that help this site fulfill your requests (for example, shipping a product to you), but these companies must not use your information for any other purpose
- Delivery companies that help this site fulfill your requests and who may also use your information in other ways
- Companies that are accountable to this site, though their privacy policies may be different from this site’s
- People who may access your information from a public area, such as a bulletin board, chat room, or directory -- only if you request this

Privacy Policy Summary

Policy Statement 1 - Access log information
Our Web server collects access logs containing this information.

Show data collection, use, and sharing details...

This site may collect the following types of information about you:
- HTTP protocol information
- click-stream information

The ways your information may be used:
- For research and development, but without connecting any information to you
- To provide the service you requested
- To perform web site and system administration

With whom this site may share your information:
- Companies that help this site fulfill your requests (for example, shipping a product to you), but these companies must not use your information for any other purpose
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## Standardized Formats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Layered</strong></th>
<th><strong>Privacy Finder</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Big idea</strong></td>
<td>One screen summary</td>
<td>Summary from P3P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standardized</strong></td>
<td>Headings; link to policy</td>
<td>Generated text; P3P itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flexibility</strong></td>
<td>Text within headings</td>
<td>Text describing policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adoption</strong></td>
<td>EU Article 29 guidance, several Fortune 500s</td>
<td>Available automagically for P3P via Privacy Finder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Development</strong></td>
<td>Hunton &amp; Williams law firm</td>
<td>AT&amp;T first, now CUPS at Carnegie Mellon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CyLab Usable Privacy and Security Laboratory  
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Research Questions

■ Do standardized formats help?
  - Accuracy
  - Time
  - Psychological acceptability

■ How much variance is there between e-com privacy policies?
  - Selected six policies ranging from fairly readable to dreadful
Six Policies

Better

We share customer information only with affiliated companies and as described below:

- With third parties we retain to perform functions on our behalf, such as fulfilling orders, processing credit card payments, managing mailing lists, and delivering packages. These parties are restricted from using your information for any other purpose.

- We rent our snailmail list for one-time use to third parties we deem relevant and appropriate. We do not rent or sell our email lists.

- We release personal information when we believe that release is appropriate to comply with the law, or to protect the rights, property, or safety of Acme Incorporated, our users, or others. This may include exchanging information with other companies and organizations for fraud protection and credit risk reduction.
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Worse

Subject to your opt-out choices (see A4 below), The Acme Family of Companies may share your personal information with selected third parties so that they can send you promotional materials about goods and services (including special offers and promotions) offered by them. (We call this type of sharing "promotional sharing" or sharing for "promotional purposes.") When sharing your information for promotional purposes, The Acme Family of Companies attempts to select only reputable companies that offer high quality products and services. Moreover, The Acme Family of Companies will not share your e-mail address with third parties for promotional purposes, except when you consent to such sharing in the course of your participation in a Sponsored Activity as described below. When The Acme Family of Companies shares your personal information with a third party under any circumstance described in this "Third Parties
Six Policies

■ Better

We share customer information only with affiliated companies and as described below:

• With third parties we retain to perform functions on our behalf, such as fulfilling orders, processing credit card payments, managing mailing lists, and delivering packages. These parties are restricted from using your information for any other purpose.

• We rent our snailmail list for one-time use to third parties we deem relevant and appropriate. We do not rent or sell our email lists.

• We release personal information when we believe that release is appropriate to comply with the law, or to protect the rights, property, or safety of Acme Incorporated, our users, or others. This may include exchanging information with other companies and organizations for fraud protection and credit risk reduction.

■ Worse

Subject to your opt-out choices (see A4 below), The Acme Family of Companies may share your personal information with selected third parties so that they can send you promotional materials about goods and services (including special offers and promotions) offered by them. (We call this type of sharing "promotional sharing" or sharing for "promotional purposes.")) When sharing your information for promotional purposes, The Acme Family of Companies attempts to select only reputable companies that offer high quality products and services. Moreover, The Acme Family of Companies will not share your e-mail address with third parties for promotional purposes, except when you consent to such sharing in the course of your participation in a Sponsored Activity as described below. When The Acme Family of Companies shares your personal information with a third party under any circumstance described in this "Third Parties..."
Six Policies

Better

We share customer information only with affiliated companies and as described below:

• With third parties we retain to perform functions on our behalf, such as fulfilling orders, processing credit card payments, managing mailing lists, and delivering packages. These parties are restricted from using your information for any other purpose.

• We rent our snailmail list for one-time use to third parties we deem relevant and appropriate. We do not rent or sell our email lists.

• We release personal information when we believe that release is appropriate to comply with the law, or to protect the rights, property, or safety of Acme Incorporated, our users, or others. This may include exchanging information with other companies and organizations for fraud protection and credit risk reduction.

Worse

Subject to your opt-out choices (see A4 below), The Acme Family of Companies may share your personal information with selected third parties so that they can send you promotional materials about goods and services (including special offers and promotions) offered by them. (We call this type of sharing "promotional sharing" or sharing for "promotional purposes.") When sharing your information for promotional purposes, The Acme Family of Companies attempts to select only reputable companies that offer high quality products and services. Moreover, The Acme Family of Companies will not share your e-mail address with third parties for promotional purposes, except when you consent to such sharing in the course of your participation in a Sponsored Activity as described below. When The Acme Family of Companies shares your personal information with a third party under any circumstance described in this "Third Parties
## Readability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NL Pages</th>
<th>Reading Ease</th>
<th>% Passive</th>
<th># cross references</th>
<th>PF Words</th>
<th>NL Words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Worse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>6329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>3725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>2586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>2550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Better</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<th>NL Pages</th>
<th>Reading Ease</th>
<th>% Passive</th>
<th># cross references</th>
<th>PF Words</th>
<th>NL Words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Worse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>6329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>3725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>2586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>2550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Better</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flesch-Kinkaid Reading Ease
- 0-29: Very Confusing
- 30-49: Difficult
- 50-59: Fairly Difficult
Methods

■ Between subjects design: one policy with a series of questions

■ Tested questions, pilot tested online study, plus prior work

■ August — December, 2008

■ Recruited via blogs and craigslist for gift certificate drawing

■ 15 conditions

■ \( n = 749; 50 \) per condition

■ Younger, well-educated, and confident with computers
Outliers

- Drawing meant some people just “click through”
  - Omitted participants who were clearly too fast

- People are sometimes interrupted
  - Omitted times that were clearly too slow

Comic from icanhascheezburger.com
Questionnaire Process

- Training question first, company’s address: end of policy

- Percentage correct answers for four random order questions:
  1. Does the Acme website use cookies?
  2. Does the company provide a link to a webform that allows you to remove yourself from Acme’s email marketing list?
  3. Does this privacy policy allow Acme to share your email address with a company that might put you on their email marketing list (with or without your consent)?
  4. Does this privacy policy allow Acme to use your phone number for telemarketing?

- Also measured response times
...use cookies?

- High accuracy (91%)
- All policies mentioned cookies explicitly
- Layered worse (78%)
...use cookies?

- High accuracy (91%)
- All policies mentioned cookies explicitly
- Layered worse (78%)

- F Layered (80%) under “Personal Information”

![Bar chart showing percentage answered correctly across different categories A to F.](chart.png)
...use cookies?

- High accuracy (91%)
- All policies mentioned cookies explicitly
- Layered worse (78%)

- F Layered (80%) under “Personal Information”
- D Layered (69%) “You may also turn off cookies in your browser”

![Bar chart showing percentage answered correctly for different methods: Natural Language, Privacy Finder, Layered.](chart.png)
...use cookies?

- Shortest answer times (2.3 minutes)
- No significance for company, just format

- PF (2.1) & Layered (2.3) faster than NL (2.6)
- Layered ➔ more incorrect answers
link to a webform... to remove yourself from Acme's email marketing list

- NL from 93% (F) to 33% (A)
  - Required finding a link in 13 pages

Percentage answered correctly:

- Natural Language
- Privacy Finder
- Layered

A: 100%
B: 75%
C: 50%
D: 25%
E: 0%
F: 0%
link to a webform... to remove yourself from Acme's email marketing list

- **NL** from 93% (F) to 33% (A)
  - Required finding a link in 13 pages
- **PF** from 91% (B) to 51% (E)
link to a webform... to remove yourself from Acme's email marketing list

- **NL** from 93% (F) to 33% (A)
  - Required finding a link in 13 pages

- **PF** from 91% (B) to 51% (E)
  - F PF (79%) same practices as E PF (51%), difference in free-form text

![Bar chart showing percentage answered correctly for different methods and categories (A to F).]
link to a webform... to remove yourself from Acme's email marketing list

- **NL** from 93% (F) to 33% (A)
  - Required finding a link in 13 pages

- **PF** from 91% (B) to 51% (E)
  - F PF (79%) same practices as E PF (51%), difference in free-form text

- F layered (92%) same text as F PF (79%)

![Graph showing percentage answered correctly for different methods: Natural Language (NL), Privacy Finder (PF), Layered. The graph shows a significant drop from 93% to 33% for NL, from 91% to 51% for PF, and a consistent performance for F layered at 92%.]
link to a webform... to remove yourself from Acme's email marketing list

- **NL** from 93% (F) to 33% (A)
  - Required finding a link in 13 pages
- **PF** from 91% (B) to 51% (E)
  - F PF (79%) same practices as E PF (51%), difference in free-form text

- **F layered** (92%) same text as F PF (79%)
- **Layered** from 92% (F) to 18% (B)
  - B layered (18%) required clicking link to see what it did
link to a webform... to remove yourself from Acme's email marketing list

- Expected longer times for longer policies
- Instead, main factor was: no link took longer
- Re-reading rather than just reading to end of policy
- Layered (4.0) and PF (4.2) faster than NL (5.4,) but accuracy all over the map
share email address with co. that might put you on email marketing list (with or w/out consent)?

- Overall accuracy only 60%
- Requires inferences, ex B NL:
  “We may provide your contact info & other personal data to trusted third parties to provide information on products and services that may be of interest to you.”
- No pattern based on readability for NL
- No significance for formats

![Chart showing percentage answered correctly](chart.png)

- Natural Language
- Privacy Finder
- Layered

Percentage answered correctly:

- A: [percentage]
- B: [percentage]
- C: [percentage]
- D: [percentage]
- E: [percentage]
- F: [percentage]
share email address with co. that might put you on email marketing list (with or w/out consent)?

- No significant differences for company or format
- Longer times (5.2 minutes) indicate this was a more difficult question to answer

![Bar chart showing time to answer in minutes for different formats and companies.]

**Legend:**
- Natural Language
- Privacy Finder
- Layered
- Hard question, jigsaw puzzle
  "The information you provide to Acme on certain Acme Web sites may also be used by Acme and selected third parties for marketing purposes." plus another section on phone #s

- Layered accuracy only 28%

- PF only format that requires telemarketing notice

- PF supported more accurate answers (61%) in contrast to NL (39%)

![Percentage answered correctly graph](chart.png)
use phone # for telemarketing?

- Not significant for company, only format
- Layered & Privacy Finder faster (5 min) than Natural Language (8.2 min)
Psychological Acceptability

- People do not use what they do not like

- Asked eight questions on a seven-point Likert scale
  - Strongly agree to strongly disagree with statements
Psychological Acceptability

- People do not use what they do not like

- Asked eight questions on a seven-point Likert scale
  - Strongly agree to strongly disagree with statements

- Summary of results:
  - Privacy policies of all formats and from all companies were disliked
  - Many results not significant, those that were had small effect sizes
  - Layered slightly better liked
  - Privacy Finder slightly more trusted
Discussion

- All formats unsatisfactory
  - Low comprehension for synthesis questions
  - Participants disliked all

- Better readability for NL did not improve comprehension

- Larger than expected range within standardized formats
  - Same practices, different results
  - Authors have much leeway

- Current standardized formats not very different from NL
  - PF better for complexity if topic part of P3P specification
  - Layered faster yet less accurate: link hides information

- Policy implications:
  - Test new formats first
  - We do not suggest regulation requiring standardized formats
  - Standardization remains a promising idea — can we do better in future revisions?
# Nutrition Label for Privacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>types of information</th>
<th>how we use your information</th>
<th>who we share your information with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>provide service and maintain site</td>
<td>marketing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact information</td>
<td><code>!</code></td>
<td><code>opt out</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cookies</td>
<td><code>!</code></td>
<td><code>opt out</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>demographic information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>financial information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>health information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preferences</td>
<td><code>!</code></td>
<td><code>opt out</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purchasing information</td>
<td><code>!</code></td>
<td><code>opt out</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social security number &amp; gov't ID</td>
<td><code>!</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>your activity on this site</td>
<td><code>!</code></td>
<td><code>opt out</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>your location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Few Policy Choices

- Do nothing
- Modify self-regulation
- Try a new approach
- Everything all at once
Do Nothing

- Some views of proponents
  - Need more time for self-regulation to work
  - No need for action because at least one of:
    - Privacy is bad
    - Status quo is fine
    - Privacy is dead
    - Just wait for older generation to die off

- Some views of opponents
  - Causing harm now especially to the vulnerable
  - Public is outraged when they understand practices
  - Self-regulation is not working
  - Losing potential customers
  - Privacy is a basic right deserving full protection
Modify Self-Regulation

Some views of proponents

- Companies innovate when threatened with regulation
- Privacy Enhancing Tech
  - Reinvent P3P
  - Reinvent privacy seals
  - Opt out cookies, TACO
- Publish sample policies
- Mandate better formats
- Redefine success: policies are not to be widely read

Some views of opponents

- Privacy protections should not be a user burden
- People mistakenly believe “privacy policy” means they are protected
- Trained users out of clicking the privacy policy link
- Better privacy policies necessary but not sufficient
- Privacy is not a commodity
Try a New Approach

■ Some views of proponents
  - Legislation from Congress
  - Regulation from the FTC
  - First rounds may be toothless, can amend later
  - Right to anonymous speech is constitutionally protected
  - General opposition to industry self-regulation
  - Have tried everything else

■ Some views of opponents
  - Government will only harm
  - Unconstitutional for free speech; FTC lacks authority
  - Quantifiable economic harms v. uncertain benefits
  - Uncertain on the optimal level of privacy
  - Internet too young
  - Industry too strong
  - Creates a floor or a ceiling?
Everything All At Once

New regulation

*plus* industry associations

*plus* standards bodies

*plus* privacy enhancing technologies

*plus* privacy reducing technologies

*plus* privacy principles

*plus* human rights treaties

*plus* social changes...
Cylab Usable Privacy and Security Laboratory

http://cups.cs.cmu.edu/
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